

REMARKS

By this amendment, Applicant amends claims 15, 19, and 23–26 to set forth the invention with more particularity. No new matter is added by this amendment. Claims remaining in this application are:

Independent claims: 15, 19, 23–26

Dependent claims: 7, 8, 12, 14, 16–18, 20–22, 27, 28

In response to Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Applicant has amended claims 15, 19, and 23–26 to recite that a display according to the present invention enables a player to “deduce” that certain outcomes are unavailable as a result of depletion of indicia. Support for this amendment appears at page 7, lines 11–14 and page 12, lines 15–17.

In response to Examiner's provisional obviousness-type double-patenting rejection, Applicant files herewith a terminal disclaimer.

Examiner stated in the office action that the claims distinguish over the prior art of record. *See* Office Action of April 4, 2008, ¶ 14. Therefore, Applicant submits that the amendments submitted herein to overcome Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and the terminal disclaimer filed herewith place the present application in condition for allowance.

Serial No.: 09/942,520
Filed: Aug. 29, 2001


CONCLUSION

It is submitted that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Allowance of all pending claims is requested. Should Examiner believe that a telephone interview would facilitate allowance of the pending claims, the undersigned would invite and request such an interview.

Respectfully submitted,
MORISHITA LAW FIRM, LLC

Dated: May 22, 2008

By: _____



Robert Ryan Morishita
Registration No. 42,907
Morishita Law Firm, LLC
8960 W. Tropicana Ave., Ste. 300
Las Vegas, NV 89147
Ph: (702) 222-2113
Fax: (702) 227-0615

G:\Karaway\01-01 roa6.wpd